

# Perpetual Solvency: True Cost Accounting for the Energy-Water Nexus

## Addressing the Physical and Economic Liabilities of the Linear Economy

Dr. Elmer Hall  
December, 2025

### Abstract

The global "Built Economy" operates on a balance sheet that is increasingly disconnected from physical realities, generating a false sense of prosperity while accruing massive systemic debt. This *white paper* exposes the "**Twin Insolvencies**" threatening global stability: the physical collapse of the **Food-Energy-Water Nexus**—exemplified by the pricing failures of the Colorado River basin—and the financial insolvency of the fossil fuel industry, which carries a hidden **\$185 trillion carbon debt**.

We argue that true sustainability is no longer merely an environmental preference but a fiduciary requirement for economic solvency. By adopting **True Cost Accounting (TCA)** and leveraging **Generative AI** to model complex interdependencies, organizations can transition from linear liability to circular value. This document outlines actionable strategies, including nature-based regenerative offsets, to realign market incentives with planetary limits and secure a solvent future.



# Contents

- Perpetual Solvency: True Cost Accounting for the Energy-Water Nexus ..... 1
  - Addressing the Physical and Economic Liabilities of the Linear Economy ..... 1
- Abstract ..... 1
- Executive Summary ..... 3
- Part I: The Physical Insolvency (The Nexus Crisis) ..... 3
  - 1.1 The Interconnected Reality ..... 3
  - 1.2 Market Failure: The Pricing of Hydro and Livestock ..... 4
    - Case Study: The Colorado River Basin ..... 4
- Part II: The Carbon Debt (The True Cost of Fossil Fuels) ..... 5
  - 2.1 The Cost of Capture vs. The Cost of Fuel..... 5
  - 2.2 The "Restoration" Scenario: Paying the Historical Debt ..... 5
- Part III: The Economic Insolvency (The Linear Economy) ..... 6
  - 3.1 The Fossil Fuel Subsidy Bubble ..... 6
    - Sidebar: Health and Wellness Costs of Fossil Fuels ..... 6
  - 3.2 Case Study: The True Cost of Plastics ..... 6
  - 3.3 The Regenerative Offset (A Better Way) ..... 7
  - 3.4 Actionable Offsets: Moving from Liability to Legacy ..... 8
- Part IV: The Way Out (True Cost Accounting & Modeling) ..... 8
  - 4.1 Modeling Complexity with Generative AI ..... 8
  - 4.2 From Linear to Circular ..... 9
  - 4.3 Implementation: The Continuous Improvement Cycle ..... 9
- Conclusion ..... 9
  - Sidebar. Putting Theory into Practice: The Pi-rdAI Approach ..... 9
  - Suggested GenAI Prompts ..... 10
- References..... 11

## Executive Summary

The global "Built Economy" operates on a balance sheet that is increasingly disconnected from physical realities. By treating natural resources as infinite and externalizing environmental costs, the current economic model generates a false sense of prosperity while accruing massive systemic debt.

This paper examines two critical failures of this model:

1. **Physical Insolvency:** The mismanagement of the **Food-Energy-Water (FEW) Nexus**, specifically within the Colorado River basin, where siloed decision-making and distorted pricing are accelerating resource collapse.
2. **Financial Insolvency:** The hidden liabilities of the fossil fuel industry. If the "True Cost" of carbon—calculated as the cost to physically remove emissions—were applied, the sector's profitability would vanish instantly, and the real costs would ripple throughout all aspects, and all sectors, of the world economy.

**The Sustainability Reality Check:** True sustainability is not merely an environmental preference; it is the only path to economic solvency. Non-sustainable practices often appear profitable only because they extract value from natural systems for "free." However, when we translate these unsustainable habits into their full economic costs—accounting for water depletion, carbon debt, and waste management—the ledger flips. What seemed cheap is revealed to be prohibitively expensive. By pricing in these externalities, we realize that the "savings" of the linear economy are actually just deferred liabilities that are now coming due.

## Part I: The Physical Insolvency (The Nexus Crisis)

Modern infrastructure is designed in silos: water agencies manage pipes, utilities manage grids, and agriculture manages crops. However, the **Food-Energy-Water (FEW) Nexus** demonstrates that these systems are inextricably linked. A failure in one sector inevitably destabilizes the others.

### 1.1 The Interconnected Reality

Physically, water, energy, and food are different expressions of the same resource system.

- **The Water Cost of Energy:** Energy production is the second-largest consumer of water globally. Thermal power plants require massive volumes for cooling, and hydroelectric turbines rely on specific reservoir levels to generate power.

- **The Energy Cost of Water:** Moving, treating, and heating water consumes nearly 13% of all electricity in the United States. As groundwater levels deplete, the energy required to pump water from greater depths increases exponentially.
- **The Virtual Water of Food:** Agriculture accounts for approximately 70% of global freshwater withdrawals. This water is exported virtually in the form of crops and livestock.

## 1.2 Market Failure: The Pricing of Hydro and Livestock

A critical driver of the Nexus crisis is the lack of accurate pricing signals. When prices fail to reflect scarcity, rational conservation becomes impossible.

- **Hydroelectric Distortion:** The price of hydroelectric power is frequently regulated based on historical water availability. During drought conditions, the *scarcity value* of water in a reservoir rises dramatically, yet the price of the electricity generated often remains artificially low. This disconnect encourages continued consumption even as the resource base (the reservoir) collapses.
- **The Livestock Pricing Error:** The supply chain for beef and other livestock is significantly undervalued because it externalizes the costs of water intensity, methane emissions, and land degradation. A single pound of beef requires approximately 1,800 gallons of water to produce. If this "True Cost" were reflected in market prices, the cost of high-impact foods would rise significantly.
- **The Dietary Corollary:** Consequently, shifting diets lower on the food chain is not merely a health or ethical preference; it is an economic efficiency. Plant-based nutrition requires a fraction of the water and energy inputs of livestock, representing a more solvent allocation of Nexus resources.

### Case Study: The Colorado River Basin

The Colorado River exemplifies a "Nexus in Collapse." Supporting \$1.4 trillion in economic activity, the river is over-allocated. As reservoirs like Lake Mead drop, the system faces a zero-sum choice: release water to generate power (Energy) or hold it for irrigation (Food).

- **The Valuation Gap:** While municipal users pay an average of **\$512 per acre-foot** of water, agricultural districts often pay as little as **\$30 per acre-foot** due to century-old water rights.
- **The Consequence:** This 17x price differential means there is no economic incentive for the largest user (agriculture) to modernize infrastructure, leading to massive inefficiencies that threaten the energy security of the entire region.

## Part II: The Carbon Debt (The True Cost of Fossil Fuels)

While the Nexus crisis is driven by underpricing water, the climate crisis is driven by the failure to price carbon. The fossil fuel industry currently operates on a business model that is technically insolvent if one considers the cost of cleaning up its waste product (CO<sub>2</sub>).

### 2.1 The Cost of Capture vs. The Cost of Fuel

To understand the financial magnitude of the carbon liability, we must compare the cost of capturing emissions against the cost of the fuel itself.

- **Current Emissions:** In 2024, global fossil fuel emissions reached approximately **37.4 billion metric tons** of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- **Point-Source Capture:** For concentrated emissions (at the smokestack), Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) costs range from **\$40 to \$150 per ton** depending on the fuel source.
- **Direct Air Capture (DAC):** For diffuse emissions (cars, homes, leaking pipes), we must rely on Direct Air Capture, which currently costs **\$600 to \$1,000+ per ton**.

**Table 1: Carbon Capture Costs vs. 2025 Fuel Prices**

Capture / Fuel Category	Cost per ton CO <sub>2</sub> captured	Fuel Price (2025 Benchmark)
<b>Coal Smokestack CCS</b>	~\$40–\$120 / ton CO <sub>2</sub>	~\$90–\$130 / ton (Thermal coal)
<b>Natural Gas Smokestack CCS</b>	~\$60–\$150 / ton CO <sub>2</sub>	~\$3.5–\$4.5 / MMBtu (Henry Hub)
<b>Direct Air Capture (DAC)</b>	<b>\$600–\$1,000+</b> / ton CO <sub>2</sub>	—

Export to Sheets

*Data Sources: EIA, API, Global Carbon Project (2025).*

**The Economic Implication:** For coal, the cost of capturing the CO<sub>2</sub> effectively doubles the cost of the fuel. For dispersed emissions requiring DAC, the cleanup cost is exponentially higher than the value of the energy generated.

### 2.2 The "Restoration" Scenario: Paying the Historical Debt

What if the industry were held responsible for the damage already done? Let us consider a scenario where the industry must remove its cumulative emissions from the last decade (2014–2024) over the next 30 years to return the atmosphere to a safer baseline.

- **Decade of Emissions:** Total emissions from 2014–2024 are approximately **370 billion tons**.

- **The Bill:** Even assuming a blended capture cost of \$500/ton (optimistic future DAC pricing), the total cost to remove this historical burden is **\$185 Trillion**.
- **Annual Payment:** Spread over 30 years, this would require an annual payment of **\$6.1 Trillion**—exceeding the entire oil and gas industry's total gross revenue.

**Conclusion:** The "profitability" of the fossil fuel sector is an accounting error. It exists only because the cost of waste disposal (carbon removal) is set to \$0.00 on their balance sheets.

## Part III: The Economic Insolvency (The Linear Economy)

This pattern of "privatizing profit, socializing waste" extends beyond energy into the materials economy. The Linear Economy ("Take-Make-Waste") functions by liquidating natural capital to generate short-term revenue.

### 3.1 The Fossil Fuel Subsidy Bubble

Beyond the unpriced cost of carbon removal, the industry receives massive direct support. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) estimates that global fossil fuel subsidies reached **\$7 trillion** in 2022. Nearly 60% of this figure stems from undercharging for local air pollution and climate damages.

#### Sidebar: Health and Wellness Costs of Fossil Fuels

Burning fossil fuels imposes massive and often hidden health costs, driven primarily by air pollution from coal, oil, and gas combustion. Leading global assessments estimate around 7 million premature deaths each year worldwide, with impacts including heart disease, stroke, lung cancer, asthma, and other respiratory and cardiovascular illnesses.

**When monetized, these health damages are estimated at \$5–6 trillion annually, roughly 5–6% of global GDP, according to widely cited economic analyses. These costs reflect increased healthcare spending, lost productivity, and premature mortality—and they frequently exceed the economic value and profits of fossil fuel production itself, even before accounting for climate change damages.**

### 3.2 Case Study: The True Cost of Plastics

Plastic production offers the clearest example of a product where the market price is divorced from the lifecycle cost.

- **The Market Illusion:** The market price of virgin plastic is low because it excludes the costs of extraction, pollution, and waste management.

- **The Health Bill:** Recent studies have detected microplastics in human blood and organs. The public health costs associated with endocrine disruption and inflammation represent a massive, unpriced liability.
- **The Recycling Myth:** With less than 9% of plastic actually recycled, the remaining material becomes a permanent liability for municipalities and ecosystems.

**Table 2: The True Cost of a Single-Use Plastic Bottle**

Cost Category	Market Price (Paid by Consumer)	Externalized Cost (Paid by Society)
<b>Production</b>	~\$0.05	<b>High:</b> Emissions, air toxins, subsidized feedstock
<b>Usage</b>	\$0.00	<b>High:</b> Microplastic ingestion, health impacts
<b>Disposal</b>	\$0.01 (Municipal Tax)	<b>High:</b> Landfill management, ocean cleanup, ecosystem loss
<b>Total True Cost</b>	<b>~\$0.06</b>	<b>~\$1.00+</b>

### 3.3 The Regenerative Offset (A Better Way)

While exposing the insolvency of the current model is necessary, we must also identify a solvent path forward. The first step is efficiency—creating "**Nega-watts**" (energy not used) and "**Nega-gallons**" (water not wasted). However, efficiency alone is insufficient to pay down the accumulated debts of the industrial age. We must actively remove the carbon liability.

If high-tech industrial capture (Direct Air Capture) is prohibitively expensive at \$600+ per ton, we must ask: **Is there a better way?**

The answer is yes. Nature has been performing carbon capture for millions of years, often at a fraction of the cost of industrial solutions. By shifting investment from "gray" infrastructure (machines) to "green" infrastructure (ecosystems), organizations can offset their footprint more affordably while generating co-benefits for biodiversity and water security.

**Table 3: The Cost of Nature-Based Carbon Offsets (2025 Estimates)**

Offset Strategy	Estimated Cost per Ton (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Co-Benefits
<b>Reforestation &amp; Afforestation</b>	\$15 – \$40 / ton	Habitat restoration, soil health, water filtration.
<b>Forest Preservation (Avoided Deforestation)</b>	\$10 – \$30 / ton	Biodiversity protection, indigenous community support.
<b>Wetland &amp; Mangrove Restoration</b>	\$20 – \$50 / ton	Storm surge protection, fishery nurseries (high impact).
<b>Regenerative Agriculture</b>	\$15 – \$35 / ton	Improved food security, drought resilience for farms.
<i>Compare to Direct Air Capture</i>	\$600 – \$1,000+ / ton	<i>None (Purely waste management)</i>

Data Sources: Ecosystem Marketplace, World Bank, Sylvera (2025).

### 3.4 Actionable Offsets: Moving from Liability to Legacy

Organizations and individuals do not need to wait for government mandates to act. We can voluntarily "tax" our own carbon footprint and invest those funds into these high-yield regenerative projects.

- **Pay to Save Forestland:** For the cost of a business lunch, an organization can preserve an acre of mature rainforest, preventing tons of carbon from being released.
- **Pay to Reforest:** Sponsoring tree-planting initiatives in degraded zones restores the "lungs of the earth" while creating local jobs.
- **Invest in "Blue Carbon":** Funding wetland restoration is one of the most powerful moves available. Coastal wetlands sequester carbon up to **10 times faster** than mature tropical forests.

## Part IV: The Way Out (True Cost Accounting & Modeling)

Correcting these systemic failures requires a shift to **True Cost Accounting (TCA)**. However, calculating the interconnected costs of water, energy, carbon, and supply chains is complex. This is where advanced technologies play a supportive role.

### 4.1 Modeling Complexity with Generative AI

Artificial Intelligence, specifically **Generative AI** and **Large Language Models (LLMs)**, can now process vast datasets to simulate the "hidden" costs of business decisions. By modeling scenarios—such as the "Stasis" carbon removal cost or a 20% drop in Colorado River flow—organizations can identify vulnerabilities that static spreadsheets miss. This predictive capability allows leaders to "price in" externalities before they become liabilities.

## 4.2 From Linear to Circular

When True Costs are revealed, the economic logic shifts from Linear to Circular.

- **The Value of Retention:** In a linear model, a product's value drops to zero after use. In a circular model, the product is designed for repair, reuse, or remanufacturing, retaining value on the balance sheet.
- **The Solvency of Service:** Shifting from selling products to selling services (e.g., lighting as a service rather than selling lightbulbs) aligns the incentives of the manufacturer with durability and efficiency, rather than planned obsolescence.

## 4.3 Implementation: The Continuous Improvement Cycle

To implement this, organizations must adopt a regenerative cycle of planning:

1. **Assess:** Use GenAI tools to audit the "True Cost" of current operations (including water risk and carbon debt).
2. **Plan:** Simulate circular interventions (e.g., reusable packaging, renewable energy).
3. **Act:** Deploy resources to high-leverage points.
4. **Regenerate:** Use the savings to restore the underlying natural capital (soil, water, community health).

## Conclusion

Sustainability is fundamentally a solvency issue. The current "Built Economy" is accruing physical and financial debts that cannot be repaid under the status quo. By recognizing the interdependencies of the Food-Energy-Water Nexus and acknowledging the staggering "carbon debt" of fossil fuels, we can realign market incentives with physical reality. The tools to model these costs exist; the challenge now is the will to count them.

### Sidebar. Putting Theory into Practice: The Pi-rdAI Approach

The challenges of the Nexus and the Linear Economy are systemic, yet understanding these macro forces is essential for all paths forward. This awareness allows us to promote better sustainable practices and identify actionable solutions that can be adopted within every sphere of influence—from corporations and local governments to non-profits and individual households.

**Strategic Business Planning Company (SBPlan.com)** has developed the **Perpetual Innovation™** framework—a continuous improvement process that moves organizations from static planning to dynamic regeneration.

To navigate the complexities of True Cost Accounting, we utilize **Pi-rdAI** (Regenerative Dynamic AI). This is our internal approach to leveraging Generative AI, functioning as a modernized, AI-driven evolution of the traditional TQM **Plan-Do-Check-Act** cycle. It allows leaders to simulate complex, evolving scenarios—such as water scarcity or carbon pricing—before implementation.

#### Resources for Action:

- **The Methodology:** Read more about the Pi-rdAI approach and how it enables SmartGenAI at: [The Pi-rdAI Approach: A Game-Changing Path to SmartGenAI](#)
- **The Blueprint:** For a step-by-step guide, refer to the book *Perpetual Innovation™: Perpetual Sustainability by Leveraging Regenerative Dynamic AI (rdAI)* by Dr. Elmer Hall.
- **The Hub:** Access further case studies and planning tools at [PerpetualInnovation.org/pi-sustain/](https://PerpetualInnovation.org/pi-sustain/).

### Suggested GenAI Prompts

To apply the concepts of **Perpetual Innovation™** and **True Cost Accounting** to your own organization, try using these prompts with your preferred Large Language Model (LLM):

1. **Analyze Your Nexus Risk:** "Act as a Systems Analyst. Identify the interdependencies between water, energy, and supply chain inputs for [My Industry/Organization]. Where are the critical failure points if water costs triple or energy availability drops by 20%? Create a 3-column table showing the risk, the potential financial impact, and a regenerative mitigation strategy."
2. **Audit Hidden Liabilities (True Cost):** "Act as a Forensic Accountant using True Cost Accounting principles. Estimate the 'externalized costs' (environmental, health, and social) for [Specific Product or Service]. Compare the current market price against this estimated 'True Price' and highlight the solvency gap."
3. **A. Simulate Carbon Solvency (The Liability):** "Conduct a 'Carbon Solvency' stress test. Assume my organization emits [X] tons of CO2 annually. If we were legally required to pay for physical removal via industrial Direct Air Capture at \$600/ton, how would this impact our profit margins? Show the calculation."  
**B. The Regenerative Offset (The Better Way):** "Now, act as a Conservation Strategist. Instead of industrial removal, propose a portfolio of nature-based solutions (reforestation, avoided deforestation, wetland restoration) to offset that same carbon footprint. Provide a budget estimate using current market rates (\$15-\$40/ton) and list the specific co-benefits (biodiversity, water filtration) for each approach."

4. **Design a Circular Model:** "Propose a transition from a Linear Economy model to a Circular Economy service model for [My Product]. How can we retain ownership of the materials to reduce waste costs while creating a recurring revenue stream? Outline the 'Take-Make-Return' flow."
5. **Scenario Planning (The Pre-Mortem):** "Run a 'Pre-Mortem' scenario for the year 2030. Imagine our primary business model has failed due to a collapse in the Food-Energy-Water Nexus. Write a narrative explaining exactly how it happened, and then list five strategic decisions we should take today to prevent that future."

**AI attribution:** This document was created based on the Perpetual Sustainability™ book (Hall, 2025), specifically concepts from Chapters 0, 5, and 11. Content generation and analysis were assisted by Gemini 3 Pro and ChatGPT 5.2 (2025, December).

## References

Black, S., Liu, A., Parry, I., & Vernon-Lin, N. (2023). *IMF Fossil Fuel Subsidies Data: 2023 Update*. International Monetary Fund.

<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2023/08/22/IMF-Fossil-Fuel-Subsidies-Data-2023-Update-537281>

Bolster, J. (2025). *Colorado River Water Is Too Cheap, Particularly for Agricultural Users*. Inside Climate News.

Dalberg Advisors & WWF International. (2021). *Plastics: The Costs to Society, the Environment and the Economy*. World Wildlife Fund.

[https://wwf.panda.org/wwf\\_news/?3507866/Plastics-The-cost-to-society-environment-and-the-economy](https://wwf.panda.org/wwf_news/?3507866/Plastics-The-cost-to-society-environment-and-the-economy)

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2023). *The Circular Economy Opportunity for the United States*. <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/>

Environmental and Energy Study Institute (EESI). (2025). *The Colorado River: Briefing*. <https://www.eesi.org/briefings/view/021925rivers>

FoodPrint. (2018). *The Water Footprint of Food*. <https://foodprint.org/issues/the-water-footprint-of-food/>

Friedlingstein, P., et al. (2024). *Global Carbon Budget 2024*. Earth System Science Data.

Global Carbon Project. (2024). *Fossil fuel CO2 emissions increase again in 2024*.

Hall, E. B. (2025). *Perpetual Innovation™: Patent Primer 5: Navigating the GenAI Landscape of Intellectual Property*. Strategic Business Planning Company.

<https://amazon.com/bp/B0DVQ8SNBN>

Hall, E. B. (2025). *Perpetual Innovation™: Perpetual Sustainability by Leveraging Regenerative Dynamic AI (rdAI)*. Strategic Business Planning Company.

<https://amazon.com/dp/B0F2Z2SGZL>

Inside Climate News. (2025, December 11). *Colorado River Water Is Too Cheap, Particularly for Agricultural Users*.

<https://insideclimatenews.org/news/11122025/colorado-river-water-too-cheap/>

International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). *Net Zero Roadmap: A Global Pathway to Keep the 1.5 °C Goal in Reach*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-roadmap-a-global-pathway-to-keep-the-15-c-goal-in-reach>

Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2012). A Global Assessment of the Water Footprint of Farm Animal Products. *Ecosystems*, 15(3), 401–415.

<https://waterfootprint.org/en/resources/publications/>

Mission Zero Technologies. (2025). *Debunking the \$100 fallacy: What does direct air capture CO2 actually cost?*

Oliver Wyman. (2024). *The Circular Economy Can Unlock \$1.5 Trillion For US Firms*.

<https://www.oliverwyman.com/our-expertise/insights/2024/apr/circular-economy-solutions-to-decarbonize-industry.html>

OPIS. (2025). *Why Engineered Carbon Removal May Never Cost \$100/mt*. Dow Jones Company.

Project Drawdown. (2024). *Reducing Food Waste*.

<https://drawdown.org/solutions/reduced-food-waste>

Rotz, S., & Fraser, E. (2015). *Resilience and the Industrial Food System*. Explorations in Media Ecology.

Sardanelli, A., & Lemos, B. (2025). Microplastics Everywhere. *Harvard Medicine Magazine*.

<https://magazine.hms.harvard.edu/articles/microplastics-everywhere>

Stanford Medicine. (2025). *Microplastics and our health: What the science says*.

<https://med.stanford.edu/news/insights/2025/01/microplastics-in-body-polluted-tiny-plastic-fragments.html>

Stanley, P. L., Rowntree, J. E., Beede, D. K., DeLonge, M. S., & Hamm, M. W. (2018). Impacts of Soil Carbon Sequestration on Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Midwestern USA Beef Finishing Systems. *Agricultural Systems*, 162, 249–258.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2024). *Energy Use in Wastewater Treatment Plants*. <https://www.energystar.gov/>

Vermont Agency of Agriculture. (2023). *Soil Carbon Sequestration through Regenerative Agriculture*. PLOS Climate.

<https://journals.plos.org/climate/article?id=10.1371/journal.pclm.0000021>

World Health Organization. (2022). *Dietary and inhalation exposure to nano- and microplastics and potential implications for human health*. WHO.

World Resources Institute. (2025). *Direct Air Capture: Resource Considerations and Costs for Carbon Removal*.

World Wildlife Fund. (2023). *Cost of Plastic 10 Times More for Low-Income Countries*.

<https://www.wwf.org.uk/press-release/cost-plastic-10-times-more-low-income-countries>